***„Keeping and attracting skilled labour force***

***in Central and Eastern European countries"***

**QUESTIONNAIRE**

The objective of filling the questionnaire is the preparation of the programme of the meeting of twin-municipalities to be taken place between 13 and 15 of September 2017 at Mórahalom in Hungary, in the theme of “Keeping and attracting skilled labour force in Central and Eastern European countries”. With filling and sending back the questionnaire, until 8 September 2017, the decision-makers/experts representing the participants of the meeting give information on current labour market and labour migration situation of their own municipalities, and make recommendations to refine the topics of the professional conference.

The method of filling is given at each question. If a given information is not available for you, please indicate this fact with inserting a note of “data are not available”.

The You for your cooperation!

1. **Municipality**

*Please mark with “X” the municipality/commune that You represent with filling this questionnaire!*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Csíkszentmárton/Sanmartin/ (RO) |
|  | Evje og Hornnes (NO) |
|  | Mórahalom (HU) |
|  | Párkány/Sturovo (SK) |
|  | Uniejów (PL) |
|  | Temerin (SRB) |
|  | Zsombolya/Jimbolia (RO) |

1. **Position**

*Please specify Your position at the local government, in text (e.g. mayor, clerk, administrator etc.)!*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Population**

*Please specify of the number of people living in the municipality/commune represented by You, according to the last available statistical data! Also, please give the reference year/date related to the given data (e.g. 2017 or 2016.12.31.)!*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | persons |
| . | . | . | reference year/date |

*Comparing the above-given data with the situation cca. 10 years ago (of 2007, for example), has the population of the municipality/commune increased or decreased? Mark Your answer with “X”?*

In the last some 10 years the population of the municipality/commune has…

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | decreased by more than 10% |
|  | decreased by less than 10% |
|  | stagnated |
|  | increased by less than 10% |
|  | increased by more than 10% |

1. **Age-group distribution**

*Please specify the distribution of the size given in the point 3 of the population of the municipality/commune represented by You, by main age-groups (in persons)!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | -14 | years, persons |
|  | 15-64 | years, persons |
|  | 65- | years, persons |
|  | total | persons |

*Comparing the above-given data with the situation cca. 10 years ago (of 2007, for example), how has the ratio of the size of the 65+ population to the size of 15- population developed? Mark Your answer with “X”!*

In the last some 10 years the age-group distribution of population of the municipality/commune has been characterized by…

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ageing, because the number of 65+ years old people has become more than the number of 15- years old people, proportionately |
|  | rejuvenation, because the number of 15- years old people has become more than the number of 65+ years old people, proportionately |

1. **Educational attainment distribution**

*Please specify the distribution of the size given in the point 3 of the population of the municipality/commune represented by You, by main categories of educational attainment (in persons)!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | at most primary education | , persons |
|  | secondary education | , persons |
|  | tertiary education | , persons |
|  | total | , persons |

*Comparing the above-given data with the situation cca. 10 years ago (of 2007, for example), has how has the ratio of the population having at least secondary education developed? Mark Your answer with “X”!*

In the last some 10 years the change in educational attainment distribution of population of the municipality /commune has been characterized by…

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | an increase of ratio of persons having at least secondary education |
|  | a decrease of ratio of persons having at least secondary education |

1. **Local education offer**

*Please specify the types of educational institutions available at the municipality/commune represented by You, and give the (estimated) number of children/students currently attending them!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | number of institutions | total number of children/students |
| kindergarten |  |  |
| primary school |  |  |
| secondary school |  |  |
| higher education |  |  |
| total |  |  |

1. **Labour market activity**

*Please specify the distribution of the size given in the point 3 of the population of the municipality/commune represented by You, by main categories of labour market activity (in persons)!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | unemployed | , persons |
|  | employed | , persons |
|  | inactive | , persons |
|  | total | , persons |

*Comparing the above-given data with the situation cca. 10 years ago (of 2007, for example), has how has the number of employed developed? Mark Your answer with “X”!*

In the last some 10 years the number of employed persons within the population of the municipality/commune has…

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | decreased by more than 10% |
|  | decreased by less than 10% |
|  | stagnated |
|  | increased by less than 10% |
|  | increased by more than 10% |

1. **Local employment**

*Please specify (in persons and %) the estimated share of locally employed persons (persons working at the municipality/commune represented by You) within the number of employed given in the point 6?*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | , persons |
|  | , % |

*Please specify (in persons and %) the distribution of locally employed persons, by main economic branches (e.g. agriculture, electronic industry, wood industry, education, health, public administrations etc.)!*

|  | branch | persons | % |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |
|  | other |  |  |
|  | total |  | 100 |

1. **Labour force commuting**

*Please specify (in persons and %) the estimated share of not locally employed persons (persons working not at the municipality/commune represented by You), but commuting another municipalities/communes within the number of employed given in the point 6?*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | , persons |
|  | , % |

*By the numbers of persons commuting to or from somewhere how the municipality/commune represented by You can be characterized? Mark Your answer with “X”!*

The commuting balance of the municipality/commune can be characterized by that…

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | more people commute to somewhere else, compared to the number of people working as commuters from another place |
|  | more people work as commuters from another place, compared to the number of people commuting to somewhere else |
|  | number of inward and outward commuters are almost equal |

1. **Outward foreign labour migration**

*Please specify (in persons) the estimated number of people who have left the municipality/commune represented by You to work abroad!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| cca. |  | , persons |

*Please specify the main destination countries chosen by workers who have migrated in the last some 10 years!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | destination country | persons |
| 1. |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |
|  | other |  |
|  | total |  |

1. **Inward foreign labour migration**

*Please specify (in persons) the estimated number of foreign people who have chosen the municipality/commune represented by You because of working purpose!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| cca. |  | , persons |

*Please specify the main origin countries from where the immigrant workers have arrived in the last some 10 years!*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | origin countries | persons |
| 1. |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |
|  | other |  |
|  | total |  |

1. **Theme preferences and recommendations**

*Evaluate on a scale from 1 to 5 (1= not relevant at all, 5 = very relevant) the themes to be discussed within the framework of the Project, i.e. related to labour force migration are the most important that the citizens of the municipality/commune represented by You are most interested in! Mark Your choices with “X”!*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Labour market policies of the EU |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local vocational training possibilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public employment programmes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labour force mobility incentives |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment possibilities for youngsters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Role of churches, NGOs in keeping youngsters at motherland |  |  |  |  |  |
| Global technological development – local job creation |  |  |  |  |  |

*Other recommendations:*

|  |
| --- |
|  |